BOOK 31 863

Inza R. Droneburg Bishop:

No. 13563 Equity

VS.

In the Circuit Court

Gordon L. Droneburg and Ruth Stone Droneburg, his wife, and Roger T. Droneburg and Eva Winpigle: Droneburg,

For Frederick County

In Equity

his wife

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

Your Oratrix, Inza R. Droneburg Bishop, by Manuel M. Weinberg, her solicitor, respectfully says:

FIRST: That heretofore, to wit, on the 30th day of July, 1937, your Oratrix filed her Bill of Complaint in this Court against Gordon L. Droneburg and Reger T. Droneburg, of Frederick County, Maryland, praying, amongst other things, for a sale of certain premises, to which Bill the Defendants answered and other proceedings were had, as by the same proceedings, now in this Court, will appear.

SECOND: That your Oratrix has lately discovered that Ruth Stone Droneburg and Eva Winpigler Droneburg are necessary parties to this suit because of their dower interest in said real estate as the wives of the Defendants in the original Bill of Complaint.

and Eva Winpigler Droneburg, both of Freierick County, Maryland, may answer this amendment as well as the matters contained in the original Bill of Complaint.

(2) That your Oratrix may have such further and other relief against them as is prayed by her original Bill against the said Gordon L. Droneburg and Roger T. Droneburg.

And your Cratrix prays for the following process: That the writ of subpoena may issue in the usual form to Ruth Stone Droneburg and Eva Winpigler Droneburg for the purpose of summoning them into Court to answer said original Bill and the amended Bill as required by law.

MANUEL M. WEINBERG
ATTORNEY AT LAW
FREDERICK, MARYLAND

And as in duty bound, etc.

7 EP, pp relevent Jak F

Manuel M. Members Solicitor for Plaintitt